

| | | | |
|--------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. 10/817,275 | Applicant(s) HOWITZ ET AL. | |
| | Examiner Martin Jeriko P. San Juan | Art Unit 2132 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Martin Jeriko P. San Juan (PTO Examiner). (3) Sabra-Anne Truesdale (Applicant's Representative).
 (2) Gilberto Barron (PTO, SPE). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 09 August 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 18.

Identification of prior art discussed: US PN 625551 B1, US PN 6173332 B1.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The rejection of claim 18 will be considered in view of the argument. The Applicant discussed the invention, in summary, and focused mainly on the limitation regarding initial authentication of the user, and automatically authenticating the user responsive to the initial authentication. Subsequent authentication is specific towards the application being accessed/used and relies on the initial authentication data of the user, such that authentication is automatic (not separate from initial authentication) upon access/use of the application. With this regard, the Examiner acknowledges that US PN 625551 B1 would not teach this limitation. US PN 6173332 B1, may teach pending on further analysis of the reference. However, it has been noted that further research for other references will be conducted with emphasis on this particular limitation.